

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/767,723	Applicant(s) MUENCHAU ET AL.	
	Examiner Thomas R. Artman	Art Unit 2882	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas R. Artman.

(2) David Krasnow, Reg. No. 34,203.

Status of Application: Response to Non-Final Rej.

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 1 December 2005

Time: pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 6 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Inadvertent errors in clms 1, 6 and 11 were corrected. Further, Applicants agreed to include a controller in order to concretely establish the signal function.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Church

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)